

Award Criteria Guidance HT.5115

A. The Evaluation of the projects will take into account the coverage of:

I. Two **Areas**

1. Area: Improvement of knowledge, application and interpretation of EU competition law
2. Area: Development of legal linguistic skills of national judges

II. Six **priorities** for training activities focussing on

1. Article 101 and 102 TFEU
2. Directive 2014/104 on antitrust damages actions
3. Economic principles of competition law
4. Application of competition law in regulated industries
5. State Aid
6. Development of legal linguistic skills

III. Three **preferences** when assessing the projects:

1. Trainings foreseeing consecutive levels, building up one on another
2. Trainings which not simply duplicate/overlap existing/planned training material or existing/future projects of other training providers at national level, but that act in complementarity or that innovate
3. Trainings addressing judges from several member states in one training and thus encourage networking

B. The award criteria for the projects (total max. 100 points)

I. Relevance of the objectives and the subject matter (max. 30 points)

Inter alia: compliance of the project with the objectives of the call looking into the Areas/ Priorities/ Preferences/ relevance of the issues in relation to the target audience` needs and the European added value

II. Quality of the project (max. 30 points)

Inter alia: methodology/ goals/ appropriateness of the activities/ quality of speakers and action/ timing/ marketing and networks/ partnerships necessary to reach the target audience.

III. Geographical scope and expected results, dissemination and sustainability (max. 20 points)

Inter alia: geographic impact, achievability of results/ dissemination/ shared and sustainable learning material

IV. Cost-effectiveness (max. 20 points)

Inter alia: reasonable budget in view of: expected results, a cost per day/judge basis, the ratio between staff costs and overall costs of the project (in particular in view of the cost coverage foreseen for participants)

C. Relevant minimum score for Grants

Projects have to reach a **minimum overall score of 60 points and at least 50% of the points available under criteria I., II. and IV.**

Departing from these thresholds and within the limits of the available budget, the Evaluation Committee will establish a ranking of the projects eligible for co-financing under the Call HT.5115.
